

CITY OF PILOT ROCK

COUNCIL MEETING

December 6, 2011

7:00 PM

A. ROLL

The regular meeting was called to order by Mayor Virginia Carnes at 7:00 PM. Council members present were Kacie Moss, Annie Tester, Ray Corwin, George Hall and Shawna Kirk. Also present were City Recorder Teri Porter, and Public Works Supervisor Steve Draper, Police Chief Darren Richman, Cathy Stelk, Steve Haddock, Cecile Tresham, Randy Schuening, Richard Hemphill, Heather Nelson, Mike Nelson, John Taylor, Fred Wyatt, Paul Ellis, Nancy Porter, Carroll Stroe, Jason Walker, Lavonne Herrera and Gordon Munck.

B. INVOCATION

Harley Jeffers gave the invocation.

C. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Mayor Carnes asked for Council approval to add two items to the agenda. F-8 a Part time person for City Hall and F-9 The light parade. On a motion by Councilor Hall and seconded by Councilor Kirk, Council approved the addition of the two items. All present voted yes.

D. APPEARANCE OF INTERESTED CITIZENS

None.

E. PRESENTATIONS

None.

F-1 Public Hearing: Mr. and Mrs. Slabik owned park property.

Mayor Carnes opened the public hearing and read the rules (attached). Mayor Carnes stated the nature of the hearing; Issue of the City Park and the Slabik property.

Teri Porter then stated that Mr. and Mrs. Slabik would like the City of Pilot Rock to purchase the property, tax lot 9700, for \$1200 plus all legal and survey fees which would be approximately another \$1200 to \$1500. The City would like Mr. and Mrs. Slabik to donate the property and the city to pay for all fees. Teri also stated that

there was a letter that was put out to the citizens during a recent mailing. Mrs. Slabik visited with Teri and asked that some changes be made to the letter. Teri did not have a problem making those changes and the letter with changes is part of the packet and available for the public. Attached.

Mayor Carnes asked that it be a matter of record that Mrs. Slabik chose this date for the hearing and they are not here.

Councilor Hall stated that it is difficult to do anything without the Slabik here.

Mayor Carnes agreed but stated that we would go forward allowing those who would like to be heard to speak.

Fred Wyatt of NE 4th St. stated that the City should buy the property and asked for clarification regarding the fees.

Steve Draper said there are recording fees, attorneys fees, and an easement that needs to be written up for the Little League which will have to be done by the attorney, it all adds up.

John Taylor approached Council and thanked everyone for attending tonight and thanked council for holding the hearing because he believes in open government and that doing the public business in full view of the public will return credibility to our City government. Mr. Taylor then referred to a handout that was part of the packet. He asked if anyone denies that the City has encroached on private property. Attached.

Councilor Hall asked Mr. Taylor to list the encroachments.

Mr. Taylor read from his sheet: the south 30 feet of the 40 foot bridge, a majority of the black top walk way, a majority of the creek and rip rap, the NE corner of the City owned toilet, and the north end of the gate going into the City park are located on the Slabik property. If there are no questions about the encroachments then, Mr. Taylor stated, the questions are simple. The encroachments are indisputable, the City encroached on private property owned by Ray and Mary Lue Slabik. Is the City willing to pay a fair price for private property? Is the City willing to remove the city improvements from the Slabik private property?

Councilor Hall stated that he does not feel that anyone on the Council has said that they agree or disagree about encroachments on the property.

Mr. Taylor asked if there were any questions about the map he provided, and stated that Mr. Ellis is here to give testimony regarding the portion of the Slabik property to be donated to the Little League.

Councilor Baleztana asked if Mr. Taylor was acting on behalf of the Slabik.

Mr. Taylor referred to the statement on his handout signed by Mr. and Mrs. Slabik "because we will be out of town we asked Mr. Taylor to present this information to the City Council at the Public hearing".

Councilor Hall stated that he could not accept this document as a legal document allowing Mr. Taylor to speak for them. Typically there are signatures that are notarized. Councilor Hall does not feel, as a point of record, at least from this point of view, that Mr. Taylor has been given the right to speak legally for the Slabik. He can give information.

Mr. Taylor asked for Mr. Steve Haddock the land surveyor to come up.

Mr. Haddock reiterated what he had stated to start the process rolling back on Aug 2nd. Mr. and Mrs. Slabik have set the price at \$1,200. He stated that he would do the legal description at a reduced fee of \$500. He also said that he had spoken to a real estate attorney that told him the title transfer could be done for \$600.

Councilor Baleztana stated that the City has no problem buying the property the issue is the cost of bringing everything up to code. He wants it understood that the City did make an offer to purchase the property.

Councilor Hall interrupted and stated that we are here to listen to public comment not to give rebutal.

Steve Draper asked for clarification regarding the donation of the property to the Little League, stating the original statement was to sell the entire lot to the City and write up an easement that allow the Little League access.

Councilor Hall feels that the scope of the original proposal has changed.

Steve Haddock stated that Mr. and Mrs. Slabik asked him to draw up a legal description for the entire property with an easement for the Little League.

Paul Ellis of Shaw Rd. then addressed Council stating that years ago he was involved in the Pilot Rock Little League. In the late 70's Ray Slabik had approached him regarding donating that portion of the property to the Little League so that they would have the room necessary to build the concession stand.

Councilor Corwin asked "they knew about their property lines way back then and did not do anything about it?"

Mr. Ellis felt that Mr. and Mrs. Slabik knew their property lines at that time. Mr. Ellis also stated that he feels the property should be donated to the school since the property is adjacent to the school. Before leaving Mr. Ellis pointed out that there were several flags downtown that need to be retired.

Councilor Hall asked Mr. Ellis, as part of the school board, what he thought about the bridge and the expense of making it more safe, is it worth keeping the bridge?

Mr. Ellis feels safety is number one. Anything you can do to protect our children is important. Mr. Ellis felt the bridge is pretty handy and keeps kids from having to walk around on the highway or be bussed.

Mr. Taylor made a comment that the other morning they observed 35 students using the bridge. He also stated that before Mr. and Mrs. Slabik left they told him the part that they were going to deed would go to the Little League, they do not recall ever offering the rest of the property for nothing.

Councilor Hall asked the people in attendance what was their opinion with regards to maintaining the bridge and the City accepting the liability.

Cecile Tresham stated that if we look at it in terms of ourselves, if you paid the property taxes over the year and were liable for anything that might happen there. She feels it is incumbent on the City to take care of it and that when you think of all the property taxes paid over the years \$1,200 is practically nothing. If the City is not willing to pay to have the bridge repaired then it needs to be closed because it is a liability. It is a very handy thing to have all of our kids have used the bridge but we need to make a decision before there is an accident.

Councilor Corwin asked if you owned that ground for 35 years and you knew it was yours and it had all those liabilities on it, would you have waited this long to do something about it?

Mrs. Tresham questioned whether the Slabik were aware for 35 years, she was reminded that Mr. Ellis just stated that Mr. and Mrs. Slabik knew back in the 70's. Mrs. Tresham agreed that it should have been done differently but we are here now and we need to take care of it now.

Councilor Hall asked her to clarify whether she wants the bridge to stay.

Mrs. Tresham said she would like to see the bridge stay, but if the City is not willing to spend the money to upgrade it then it needs to be closed.

Teri Porter read a statement from **Blanch Danes**: Attached.

Councilor Hall made a statement regarding the amount of money and time that the City has spent to keep it in the condition it is, and marketable. There were several floods in the 90's, the creek was working its way toward the center of the park, the City went to FEMA to help fund the construction of the dyke and to make sure that as much property as possible was usable for the park. For all intents and purposes had the City not put in their time and money to build that dyke and protect the property we would be talking about a creek bottom. Everyone needs to understand what the City has done, the berm and the walk way is part of the dyke to protect the property and needs to be maintained, so far approximately \$10,000 has been put into that dyke. Everyone needs to be award that the City has already put a lot of money into that property. Councilor Hall made another point and stated that we have become so use to that

bridge and that dyke that we have not seen it as a liability. Once a municipality has a liability pointed out to us we must take action to correct it or we could be found negligent. We have asked our insurance company to come and take a look at the property to advise us on what we must do to make it safe. Councilor Hall then listed items that the City more than likely will propose such as; build a fence from the bridge all the way to the southern end of the property to keep the kids away from the creek, barricade openings on either side of the bridge so that no one can gain access to the creek and folks will be funneled over the bridge, fence the bridge so that no one can fall through the rails or climb over the top. He stated the City has those things to do if the bridge stays regardless of who owns the property. If we cannot maintain it in a safe fashion regardless of who owns the property it will be removed.

Mrs. Tresham asked if the City knew it was the Slabik property when the City built the bathrooms? *There was no one present who could answer that question because it happened so long ago.* We should not be talking about values based on what has been done to that property if the City has made those improvements without knowing whose property it was, you can't expect them to pay you for what you have done.

Mr. Taylor stated liability is a bigger thing today than it was 20 years ago, there are too many people out there that would just love to sue anyone for any reason. The City must take that into consideration, the Slabik already have. There are 2 men here who know that the first thing you do before you begin a construction job, and that is to find out where the property lines are.

Councilor Hall agreed with Mr. Taylor stating that today has changed, the rules of doing business has changed, we have to be more concerned about liability. Councilor Hall asked Mr. Monk , elementary school principle, if the property was offered to the school.

Mr. Monk stated he was not sure if it had been but was certain that the school would not want the liability.

Councilor Hall then posed the question; is it worth the \$3,000, \$5,000 \$20,000 for the City to accept that liability? The Slabik don't want that liability. Do you as tax payers want to buy into the liability of that property. Councilor Hall said he has told you what we plan to do to protect our kids to the best of our ability and if we cant do it, structures are coming down that includes the restrooms and the bridge.

Steve Draper clarified that there is only a 2 foot corner of the bathroom that is on their property.

Councilor Baleztana stated that weather or not the City was aware of the property lines the Slabik did know back in the 70's that these improvements were on their property and they did nothing about it. The bridge was there when they bought the property maybe they should have gotten rid of the bridge then.

Mrs. Tresham stated there is a possibility that they should have done more. She feels that this has gone on too long, they are old and Mr. Slabik is not in good health and they should be able to settle their affairs.

Councilor Hall stated that according to Mr. Taylor's hand out the options that we have is to buy the property and take care of the liability or not buy it and remove the structures.

Mrs. Tresham asked don't we already have liability for public property?

Councilor Hall stated that we already have liability and we have already put Mr. and Mrs. Slabik on our insurance because they were concerned about it. There is no liability that we are overly concerned about, that gun will not be held to our head.

Mrs. Tesham: So it is just upgrading and keeping it up. is that it?

Councilor Hall added: and to bring it to a standard that is acceptable, that standard is what I laid out.

Mrs. Tresham: asked what is the cost to meet the codes of the State or the Feds?

Councilor Hall stated \$10,000 to \$20,000 but the money is not the issue we are talking about the kids and we are talking about the City getting involved in a liability. The issue is not where the structures are we agree that there may be some structures that were improperly placed. We have the school that does not want anything to do with the liability and the Slabik who do not want the liability, but you expect the City to accept the liability. One of the options offered by the Slabik is if we don't buy the property we will have to remove the structures. The City has no problem with that. We are asking the public, these are the things we have to do to curb the liability and then it becomes ours and we maintain it and accept the liability. Do the citizens want us to do that?

Mr. Taylor: Council has instructed Staff to ask that it be donated, don't you have the same liability if it is donated?

Councilor Hall agreed.

Cathy Stelk pointed out that we have many bridges so this issue could go on down the creek.

Councilor Hall agreed the only difference is that we have had a liability pointed out to us, it is a very serious liability if you don't believe me ask the current property owners. Do you as citizens want us to accept that? This hearing is about whether the citizens want the City to accept the liability. Councilor Hall then went through the audience taking a poll. Mr. Wyatt, Cathy Stelk, Cecile Tresham, John Taylor all agree that the City should.

Mr. Ellis pointed out that we have a number of bridges so we already have the liability.

Richard Hemphill feels that without the bridge the children will have to walk along the highway to get to school and that is not safe for them. He feels the City should keep the bridge and take whatever measures necessary to make the bridge safe.

Lavone Herrera is also concerned about putting the kids on the highway, the roads get very icy in the winter , cars are sliding and could hit the kids. She likes the bridge. Lavern has heard several people say that they are asking such a little amount of money for the property, if it is so little then why not donate it and take as a tax write off and then the City should pay for all the improvements to make it safe.

Councilor Hall asked if the City could have a \$30,000 budget to improve the safety issues. Folks in the audience agreed that if it meant their water bill going up they would be for it.

Councilor Baleztana wants to be clear that we are being asked to purchase property for \$1,200 that is only valued at \$1,000. Then we will be spending an additional \$20,000 plus on this property that is only valued at \$1,000. The City has been criticized in the past for spending too much money he does not want anyone wondering why the City spent \$20,000 on property that was only worth \$1,000.

Teri Porter pointed out that it is the structures on that property that make it worth the \$1,000.

Fred Wyatt asked for a show of hands who feels the City should buy the property. 9 of the 18 present.

Councilor Hall said they want the City to accept the liability, so we will. He added that there is also the expense to take care of the liability in the event that is where we wind up. But basically what we got was what we asked for a public hearing with public input. You want us to except the liability and move forward.

Mrs. Tresham asked if this was the only public hearing that would be held on this matter and was told that it was.

Councilor Hall closed the meeting and thanked everyone for coming and stated that they solved a lot of his problems.

Mayor Carnes officially closed the public hearing. Mayor Carnes thanked those that came for the hearing and they are welcome to leave if they choose to.

F-2 Public Hearing: Final reading of Ordinance No. 552 Vacating a Public Right of Way

Mayor Carnes opened the public hearing. Teri Porter stated that in order to set an Ordinance on its proper course a public hearing must be posted in the newspaper once a week for two weeks. The East Oregonian failed to get the notice in the paper for the second week, so I was forced to re post it and schedule another hearing on this matter. In order to stay in compliance we are holding one more public hearing and reading in

regards to Ordinance No. 552 Vacating the Public Right of Way at the Baptist Church. Mayor Carnes read the Ordinance in title. On a motion by Councilor Baleztena and seconded by Councilor Corwin Council agreed to pass Ordinance No. 552 vacating a public right of way. All present voted yes.

F-3 Reduction of the Round Up Room Sewer Bill

Carroll Stroe approached Council and indicated that he is in the process of purchasing the Round Up Room from Jerry Boag. Jerry Boag is never going to pay that sewer bill. Carroll feels that it would behoove the City to work with him as he is willing to pay \$400 of the \$779.29 bill and then the City can start billing him the \$29.50 monthly fees. Councilor Corwin asked if the bill goes with the property when it is sold. Teri Porter thought there may be a lien on the property for that amount of money and if so if maybe holding up the sale of the property. Teri stated that generally the title company will get hold of us and we would inform them that there is money owned on the property and that would become part of the terms of the sales contract. It is also Teri's understanding that once the property is sold, the City could not stick the new owners with the bill. On a motion by Councilor Baleztena and Seconded by Councilor Tester Council agreed to reduce the sewer bill to \$400 and bill Mr. Stroe the monthly sewer fees. Councilors, Moss, Tester, Baleztena, Corwin and Kirk all voted yes. Councilor Hall voted No, but still welcomed Mr. Stroe to the community.

F-4 Hours for Court.

Chief Richman asked Council's permission to change the Municipal Court hours from 7pm to 6pm, which would better accommodate Judge McCormach's schedule. On a motion by Councilor Kirk and seconded by Councilor Baleztena, Council agreed to change court hours from 7pm to 6pm with continued scheduling of every two months. All present voted yes. Councilor Corwin asked if she was the only one to come out and if she is a lawyer? Chief Richman said it is acceptable for municipalities.

F-5 \$25 Christmas Check for City Employees.

On a motion by Councilor Kirk and seconded by Councilor Hall Council agreed to give all current City employees a \$25 Christmas check with a thank you for the job they have done. All present voted yes.

F-6 Approval of City Employee Vacation

Steve Draper stated that Tim Britain has put in for vacation stating the 16th til the end of the year. On a motion by Councilor Baleztena and seconded by Councilor Kirk Council granted Tim Britain's request for vacation time. All present voted yes.

F-7 Evaluation Sub Committee

Mayor Carnes stated that George and Annie have volunteered and asked if there were anyone else that would like to serve on the committee. On a motion by Councilor Kirk

and seconded by Councilor Baleztana Council appointed Virginia, George and Annie to the evaluations committee. All present voted yes.

F-8 Hiring a part time office Clerk

Teri Porter stated that when Angel was made administrative assistant it left a part time office clerk position vacant. We feel that filling the position will aid in running City Hall in a more efficient manner. Teri stated that they tried for the last 6 months to run without this position but feel they could get so much more done if this position were filled. Councilor Kirk asked if there was any possibility of using the library staff. Teri stated that Cheryl and Susan are already working the number of hours they can. Teri also thought that the Library is funded differently to use those hours to help staff the City Hall may not be possible. Councilor Tester asked who the librarian's supervisor is. Mayor Carnes stated she thought it was Ken Reading. Steve Draper said it is a Library District. Councilor Hall is in favor of hiring a third person but feels that if we do hire a third person we need to do a good job in hiring a temporary person. Even though it is a temp we need to hire with the thought that they could potentially work into another position. Councilor Kirk feels that Teri has taken on more by getting involved in planning and is in favor as well. Councilor Kirk made a motion to hire for a temporary part time position, to be evaluated each year. The motion was seconded by Councilor Baleztana all present voted yes. Councilor Hall suggested that we review more frequently than 1 year. He Also stated that we want to be part of the selection process, he knows what the policy says but he disagrees with the policy and wants to be part of the selection process. He does not agree with policy that allows staff to hire part time people without Council being involved with the initial screening process. Councilor Kirk said she did not have a problem with it. Councilor Baleztana stated that he had no problem with it, because they know what they are doing, so I would say let them go ahead. Teri stated that the handbook states that Staff can hire part time without Councils approval. Councilor Kirk asked if Councilor Hall wants to be part of the screening process. Councilor Hall stated that Council has to have final say on who they hire. If we are going to be part of the hiring of everyone else then we should be involved in hiring of all employees even temporary. Staff does not have the right to make a job offer, even though our policies state that they can. Teri asked if we were going to re write the policy? Councilor Moss stated that what Councilor Hall is bring up is policy change not directly related to this issue that is happening right now. If we need to review our policy then that is something that needs to be done as well, however; we just reviewed and accepted the policy handbook. Councilor Hall then made a motion to not allow the hiring of the temporary person for the office until such time that we review the policies allowing staff to have final say. The motion died for lack of a second. Councilor Corwin suggested that it is going to take sometime time find someone who would want to work part time hours so perhaps we will have time to revisit the policy concerns. Teri stated that she would not be able to place an ad in the paper or take applications until Council allows me to move forward. Councilor Kirk stated that we gave her the ok to hire someone, but we would like her to come back to us as a request as Darren did when he hired the officer. Councilor Hall stated that that

goes to Kaci's comment, that is a change in policy, do we have to revisit policy? He stated that we can take care of that with a motion. Councilor Kirk made a motion that once Teri has chosen a candidate she brings it back to Council for approval the motion was seconded by Councilor Corwin. Councilor Moss asked if she understood it to be said that we can over ride any policy with a motion? She feels like we are making a motion over policy to correct a policy that is already in place. Teri stated that she thought it was also in her job description and is confused why we have these policies and procedures if they are not to be followed. Councilor Kirk withdrew her motion to have Teri come back to Council with a candidate for approval. Councilor Corwin withdrew his second. The motion reverted to the original motion by Councilor Kirk to hire for a temporary part time position, to be evaluated each year. All present voted yes.

F-9 The Light Parade Insurance

Teri Porter stated that Council directed staff to look into liability regarding the light parade. The insurance company has informed her that if the Chamber sponsors the parade without insurance they may be at risk. They have suggested the TULIP program which is attached. If the City were to sponsor the parade it would be covered under our insurance. On a motion by Councilor Baleztena and seconded by Moss, Council agreed to sponsor the parade. Councilor Kirk asked if we need a letter of indemnification from the Chamber. Councilor Hall did not feel that was necessary. Councilor Kirk stated that the Chamber is looking into getting insurance for next year. Mayor Carnes stated that Michael Corey with Wheatland would be here January 9th at 7pm.

G-1 DEPARTMENT HEAD

Teri stated that she will not be able to attend the training that was scheduled for tomorrow but it is her desire to attend one training class a month if not more.

Chief Richman stated that Rachel will be attending the academy January 2 she will be going with the gold car. CAD meeting Thursday with one juvenile. We have had our Range day. Had to buy a \$330 light control module to fix the lights so they quit shutting off on us for the Crown Vic.

H. CONSENT CALENDAR

On a motion by Councilor Hall and seconded by Councilor Kirk Council agreed to accept the consent calendar. All present voted yes.

I. COUNCIL COMMENTS

Councilor Kirk stated that she had called City Hall with several questions and Teri said she would add the questions to the agenda so that everyone will hear the answers at the same time.

Bark Plant Lease: The plant has changed names 3 times. Is our contract valid with them? Also we have changed the acreage amount is that reflected in the contract? Steve Draper stated that the current contract is with the current owner of the bark company. The lease states that they are charged \$300 per acre, it does not specify that they can only use so many acres. If they increase or decrease the number of acres the cost will reflect that. Councilor Kirk asked if they are current on their payments? Teri stated that they are not, they are 2 months behind. They are usually on time but have fallen behind for some reason. She will follow up John Taylor said that the 32 year lease states that you have to give them a notice and asked if a notice had been given. Teri said she did give them a final notice. Mr. Taylor also stated that the lease states that they have a late penalty fee of 10%. Teri said she would follow up. Councilor Kirk asked the term of the contract. Steve said we would be doing a renew in December of 2013.

Bike Pit and the 501c3: Where are we on the bike pit and the 501c3? In the packet are the minutes from 8/17/10 that states that Council made a motion to deed it to them without the 501c3. Steve Draper stated that he thought that the City attorney had advised Council against it. Councilor Kirk asked if that was in the minutes? Councilor Hall made a motion that the property not be transferred to the OHV until such time as the 501c3 is in place. The motion was seconded by Councilor Baleztena. Councilor Kirk clarified that we are over riding what was done then by making this motion that they must have the 501c3. All present voted yes. John Taylor asked that the Council consider that the lease states that the property can't be sold; however the revisionary clause should also include that it can not be encumbered, because you don't want to assume someone's debt.

Wait property: Several years ago we had several meeting regarding an easement for the Wait property. Steve Draper stated the deed has been written the easement has been written but not sure if it all has been recorded. On a motion by Councilor Kirk and seconded by Councilor Tester Council directed staff to come back with Wait property status by the second meeting in January.

Assembly of God Church Drainage issue: Councilor Kirk what the status was on fulfilling that obligation. Steve Draper stated that he is waiting on Jim Hatley who is involved in tearing down the house by the Baptist Church before he can move on to this project. Councilor Kirk asked that we stay in contact with the Assembly of God Church so that they know we are still moving forward.

A&V Contract of Sale: Councilor Kirk asked where we are in the process. Steve Draper stated that we are almost done negotiating the contract. Hoping to have a closing date by the end of the year.

Second Meeting for December: Mayor Carnes asked for a motion regarding having a second meeting in December. On a motion by Mike Baleztena and Seconded by Councilor Tester Council agreed to not have a second meeting in December due to the holidays. All present voted yes.

J. EXECUTIVE SESSION

At 8:45 Council went into executive session under ORS. 192.660(2)(e) Real Property.

In attendance were Councilmen Moss, Tester, Baleztena, Corwin, Hall, and Kirk, also Mayor Virginia Carnes, Steve Draper, Darren Richman and Teri Porter.

Council return to regular session at 9:10. Chief Richman went outside to let folks know they could return to the meeting. No one did.

Councilor Hall made a motion to postpone any public action on the Slabik property until such time that we discuss with them the changes to the boundaries of the property that is for sale, The motion was seconded by Councilor Kirk all present voted yes.

Councilor Kirk made a motion to direct staff to provide Council with a complete history of the City Park. The motion was seconded by Councilor Tester. Steve asked for clarification. Councilor Kirk rephrases: to direct staff to come up with a history of what has been spent and estimate of the cost of what it would cost to bring it up to standards. All present voted yes.

K. ADJOURNMENT

On a motion by Hall and seconded by Baleztena Council agreed to adjourn at 9:15 all present voted yes.

APPROVED _____

ATTEST _____

February 4, 2012

City Council of Pilot Rock, Oregon

Council,

We'd like to take this opportunity to thank the Council for taking the time and effort to make the right decision regarding purchasing our property adjacent to the City Park.

The minutes of the Public Hearing on December 6, 2011 indicate a misunderstanding of facts regarding the improvements on the property when the Slabiks purchased the property. The actual truth is the only improvements on the property at the time the Slabiks purchased the property was the south end of a wooden bridge.

Please take the necessary action to amend, correct or add this letter to the minutes of the Public Hearing on December 6, 2011.

Respectfully,

Ray - Slabik
MaryLue Slabik

rec 2/6/12

Respectfully

minutes of the Public Hearing on December 6, 2011

Please take the necessary action to amend, correct or add this letter to the

minutes

property of the time the Glorika purchased the property was the only end of a
Glorika purchased the property. The actual title is the only improvements to the
misunderstanding of facts regarding the improvements on the property when the
the minutes of the Public Hearing on December 6, 2011 indicate a

action to make the right decision regarding purchasing and property adjacent to
would like to take this opportunity to thank the Council for taking the time and

Council

City Council of Blythe Beach, Oregon

December 6, 2011

Peri